Sapiotypes and Diabetes Care

Introduction
Diabetes care is a complex affair. The vast diversity of causative factors, clinical features, and concerns that a person presents with, along with the equally diverse complications, comorbidities, and concomitant medications that may coexist with diabetes, make management challenging. One way of meeting this challenge is to classify diabetes in a manner that helps in therapeutic planning.

Rubrics of Classification
Various rubrics have been proposed by expert clinicians and researchers to assist in this endeavor. The simplest, perhaps, is the metabolic fulcrum, which divides persons with diabetes into those who are catabolic (“eubolic”) and “maladaptively anabolic.” This is concordant with the tridoshic concept of Ayurveda, which lists vata, pitta, and kapha as the three doshas. Catabolism can be viewed as an equivalent of vata, embolism as pitta, and maladaptive anabolism as kapha. While the metabolic fulcrum is based upon phenotype and physiology, it is backed by biochemistry and promotes person-centered choice of pharmacotherapy as well.

Recently, researchers have suggested five clusters of diabetes: severe autoimmune diabetes, severe insulin-deficient diabetes, severe insulin-resistant diabetes, mild obesity-related diabetes, and mild age-related diabetes. Insulin-resistant obese diabetes is also reported from India, as is combined insulin-resistant and deficient diabetes.

Advantage of Typing
These phenotypes assist not only in classifying but also in managing diabetes. Identifying the specific phenotype allows the clinician to anticipate the natural trajectory of the syndrome, counsel the patient, and advise appropriate screening, monitoring, and therapeutic interventions. However, there is much more to diabetes than mere biochemistry. The individual’s attitudes toward the health care system influence the natural history of diabetes as much as biomedical factors do. Health care seeking and health care accepting behaviors contribute to the success, or otherwise, of any diabetes care strategy. Accepting the diagnosis of diabetes and prescribed treatments is the rate-limiting step of the diabetes care pathway. Emotional and social factors play an important role in this process.
Sapiotyping

We propose the term “sapiotype,” based on the Latin root “sapiens” (wise), to describe the various attitudes that persons with diabetes may have towards their disease, their doctor or health care providers, a specific diagnostic procedure, drug, delivery device, and the health care system at large. Understanding the sapiotype assists the diabetes care professional in understanding the mental makeup of the individual being treated, in crafting effective communication and counseling strategies, and in planning person-centric therapeutic interventions. We list these sapiotypes in Table, providing an alliterative rubric that can be used in the clinic.

Just as we compare the metabolic triad with the Ayurvedic tridoshic model, we attempt to link sapiotypes to the three mental and emotional phenotypes of Indian philosophy: Raajsikita, saativikta, and taamsikta. While raajsikita conveys an action-oriented, androgenic, and adrenergic mindset, taamsikta describes the opposite—complacency, casualness, and callousness. Saativikta is a balanced state characterized by equanimity and equipoise.

Sapiotypic Spectrum

The sapiotype may be viewed as a spectrum of emotions (Figure 1), ranging from extreme denial and anger on one hand to extreme apathy and fatalism on the other. The zone in the middle—acceptance, affirmative assertion, and action, in anticipation of better health—is the ideal state of mind for health care.

Sapiotypic Fluidity

No single emotion can exist in isolation, and neither can it be sustained lifelong. The same person may exhibit varied emotions toward different targets (e.g., members of the health care team, specific investigations, and medications) at various times during the journey of diabetes. These variations should be accepted as a part of emotional fluidity. However, there will always be a single emotion that will predominate at the start of any clinical encounter. This is the sapiotype of the individual at that point in time.

The sapiotype is malleable and can be molded and modified to optimize therapeutic outcomes. Knowing where one starts from can help the astute clinician guide the patient, using a process of informed and shared decision-making, towards optimal health. This is the process of responsible person-centered care.

THE WAY FORWARD

We suggest the use of sapiotypes in clinical practice, as well as in research, to create person-centered therapeutic counseling and education interventions. The sapiotypic model also allows for the institution of appropriate pharmacological therapy, as it includes the clinical constructs of diabetes distress and insulin distress. The first step, however, would be to create and validate screening and diagnostic tools to help identify sapiotypes. Once this is done, sapiotypic characterization will become part of evidence-based medicine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Sapiotypes Encountred in Health Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sapiotype</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avowed denial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annoyed/angry/Agressive/argumentative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepting/aware/Action oriented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious/afraid (diabetes distress, insulin distress)/alarmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apathic/acquiescent (fatalistic)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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